2004 Pharmaceutical Process Analytics Roundtable Benchmarking Survey

Orga	anization	2001 total	2001 # respons es	2001%	2003 total	2003# respons es	2003%	2004 total	2004 # respons es	2004%
O1	Does your organization have a group of scientists d	ledicated sp	eci fically to PAC	?						
	If YES, enter "1" here.	7	9	78%	7	9	78%	9	12	75%
	If NO, enter "1" here and go on to question O9.	2	9	22%	2	9	22%	3	12	25%
O2	How many full-time PAC practitioners?									
	1-5, enter "1" here.	2	7	29%	1	7	14%	2	9	22%
	6-10, enter "1" here.	3	7	43%	1	7	14%	2	9	22%
	11-15, enter "1" here.	2	7	29%	2	7	29%	4	9	44%
	More than 15, enter "1" here.	2	7	29%	3	7	43%	1	9	11%
O3	In which function(s) are they principally operating?									
	Process R&D, enter "1" here.	7	7	100%	5	7	71%	5	9	56%
	Commercial manufacturing, enter "1" here.	7	7	100%	5	7	71%	4	9	44%
	OA, enter "1" here.	2	7	29%	0	7	0%	2	9	22%
	Technical Services, enter "1" here.	1	7	14%	4	7	57%	4	9	44%
	Pharmaceutical Development, enter "1" here.	1	7	14%	5	7	71%	4	9	44%
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	7	0%	0	7	0%	0	9	0%
O4	Does your organization also have che	mists and	engineers routinely	per form	ing PAC o	outside of the	e formally	structure	d PAC group?	
	If YES, enter "1" here.				4	7	57%	8	9	89%
	If NO, enter "1" here and go on to question O6.				3	7	43%	1	9	11%
O5	What best describes the interaction between the gro	ups?								
	PAC group involved as team m		3	4	75%	7	8	88%		
	PAC group serves as c		J //		2	4	50%	3	8	38%
	PAC group provides equipment, enter "1" here.				2	4	50%	3	8	38%
	PAC group does not inter	ract with the e	engineers/chemists, ente	er "1" here.	0	4	0%	0	8	0%
O6	Which of the following are the PAC scientists align	ned with?								
	An analytical group, enter "1" here.	8	7	114%	4	7	57%	5	9	56%
	A chemistry group, enter "1" here.	2	7	29%	2	7	29%	1	9	11%
	An engineering group, enter "1" here.	7	7	100%	3	7	43%	2	9	22%
	Technical Services, enter "1" here.	1	7	14%	3	7	43%	3	9	33%
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	7	0%	1	7	14%	1	9	11%
O7	How does the PAC group become involved in projection	ects?								
	Service organization responding to customer requests, enter "!" here.	5	7	71%	3	7	43%	4	9	44%
	Assigned by management to solve specific problem, enter "1" here.	3	7	43%	4	7	57%	5	9	56%
	As members of development teams, enter "1" here.	9	7	129%	4	7	57%	5	9	56%
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	7	0%	0	7	0%	0	9	0%

Orga	nization	200 1 total	2001 # respons es	2001%	2003 total	2003# respons es	2003%	2004 total	2004 # responses	2004%			
O8	What is the source of funding for PAC projects?												
	Corporate-wide funding (as overhead), enter "1" here.				2	7	29%	4	9	44%			
	Included in project development budgets, e	nter "1" here.			5	7	71%	6	9	67%			
	Recharge system, enter "1" here.				0	7	0%	1	9	11%			
	Fee for services (negotia	ite project cor	ntracts), enter "1" here.		1	7	14%	1	9	11%			
O9	Where do you believe that PAC can have the most												
	Process R&D, enter "1" here.	5	9	56%	5	9	56%	7	12	58%			
	Commercial process support, enter "1" here.	9	9	100%	3	9	33%	9	12	75%			
	QA, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	1	9	11%	0	12	0%			
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	9	0%	0	12	0%			
O10	Do you or someone in your company participate on any of the following PAC regulatory compliance initiatives?												
	NIRVWoG, enter "1" here.	8	9	89%	3	9	33%	1	12	8%			
	Process Analytical Technologies Subcommittee, enter "1" here.	8	9	89%	4	9	44%	3	12	25%			
	PhRMA PAT team, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	3	9	33%	4	12	33%			
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	2	9	22%	4	12	33%			
O11	Do you or someone in your company participate on any of the following PAC technology development consortia or initiatives?												
	Center for Process Analytical Chemistry (CPAC), enter "1"	6	9	67%	2	9	22%	3	12	25%			
	here.												
	Center for Pharmaceutical Process Research (CPPR), enter "1"	2	9	22%	1	9	11%	2	12	17%			
	here.			20/			1.10/		40	4=0/			
	Measurement and Control Engineering Center (MCEC), enter	0	9	0%	1	9	11%	2	12	17%			
	"1" here. Centre for Prœess Aralytics and Control technology (CPACT),	1	9	11%	1	9	11%	0	12	0%			
	enter "1" here.	'	9	1170	'	9	1170		12	0 /6			
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	2	9	22%	4	12	33%			
O12	Is your company crafting a formal response to the I	DA Draft	Guidance on PAT										
	If YES, enter "1" here.	.,			5	9	56%	6	12	50%			
	If NO, enter "1" here and go on to question M1.				1	9	11%	4	12	33%			
	If DON'T KNOW, enter "1" here and go on to	question M1.			3	9	33%	2	12	17%			
O13	Has the PAC group been involved in discussions d				<u> </u>								
	If YES, enter "1" here.				5	5	100%	6	6	100%			
	If NO, enter "1" here.				1	5	20%	0	6	0%			

Ma	nufacturing	2001 total	2001# responses	2001%	2003 total	2003# responses	2003%	2004 total	2004 # respons es	2004%
M1	Does your company use PAC technology in comm	nercial ma	anufacturing proce	sses (see quest	ion M3 for	definition of	fPAC tec	chnology))?	
	If YES, enter "1" here.	9	10	90%	7	9	78%	10	12	83%
	If NO, enter "1" here and go on to question M10.	1	10	10%	2	9	22%	2	12	17%
M2	If yes, where does your company use PAC techn	ology?								
	Tech transfers for optimization and information purposes, enter "1" here.	4	9	44%	7	7	100%	5	10	50%
	Improvement and repair of existing processes, enter "1" here.	6	9	67%	4	7	57%	7	10	70%
	In-process checks (IPCs) for process control, enter "1" here.	7	9	78%	7	7	100%	10	10	100%
	QA release testing for raw materials, enter "1" here.	6	9	67%	4	7	57%	5	10	50%
	Final product assays and release testing, enter "1" here.	3	9	33%	2	7	29%	3	10	30%
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	7	0%	0	10	0%
МЗа	Other than temperature, pressure, and flow, what F	PAC tech	nologies are currer	ntly used in de	edicated con	nmercial ma	ınu facturin	ig applica	ations?	
	pH, enter "1" here.	7	9	78%	7	7	100%	9	10	90%
	Conductivity, enter "1" here.	4	9	44%	6	7	86%	8	10	80%
	NIR, enter "1" here.	8	9	89%	6	7	86%	9	10	90%
	Mid-IR, enter "1" here.	4	9	44%	5	7	71%	5	10	50%
	UV-Vis, enter "1" here.	4	9	44%	5	7	71%	7	10	70%
	Raman, enter "1" here.	2	9	22%	3	7	43%	0	10	0%
	Microwave, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	7	0%	0	10	0%
	Mass spectrometry, enter "1" here.	3	9	33%	2	7	29%	4	10	40%
	GC, enter "1" here.	4	9	44%	2	7	29%	2	10	20%
	LC, enter "1" here.	4	9	44%	4	7	57%	3	10	30%
	Hyphenated, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	7	0%	1	10	10%
	Imaging systems, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	2	7	29%	1	10	10%
M3b	Other than temperature, pressure, and flow, what F control?	PAC tech	nologies are currer	ntly used in de						
	pH, enter "1" here.				4	7	57%	8	10	80%
	Conductivity, enter "1" here.				5	7	71%	5	10	50%
	NIR, enter "1" here.				2	7	29%	3	10	30%
	Mid-IR, enter "1" here.				0	7	0%	0	10	0%
	UV-Vis, enter "1" here.				2	7	29%	5	10	50%
	Raman, enter "1" here.				0	7	0%	0	10	0%
	Microwave, enter "1" here.				0	7	0%	0	10	0%
	Mass spectrometry, enter "1" here.				1	7	14%	1	10	10%
	GC, enter "1" here.				0	7	0%	1	10	10%
	LC, enter "1" here.				2	7	29%	2	10	20%
	Hy phenated, enter "1" here.				0	7	0%	0	10	0%
	Imaging systems, enter "1" here.				0	7	0%	0	10	0%

Ma	nufacturing	2001 total	2001 # respons es	2001%	2003 total	2003# responses	2003%	2004 total	2004 # respons es	2004%		
M4	In total, how many of t	hese ana	lyzers do you estir	nate are curren	tly operation	ng in your m	nanufacturi	ng plant	?			
	Fewer than 10, enter "1" here.	3	9	33%	0	7	0%	2	10	20%		
	10-50, enter "1" here.	3	9	33%	3	7	43%	3	10	30%		
	51-100, enter "1" here.	1	9	11%	0	7	0%	2	10	20%		
	Greater than 100, enter "1" here.	1	9	11%	4	7	57%	3	10	30%		
M5	What best describes your opinions about the curren	nt status	of your on-line ana	lytical technol	ogy?							
	We are about where we should be, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	7	0%	0	10	0%		
	There is much value that can still be captured in a select few processes and product, enter "1" here.	1	9	11%	3	7	43%	2	10	20%		
	We have barely scratched the surface, enter "1" here.	8	9	89%	4	7	57%	8	10	80%		
	We have too many analy zers returning too little value, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	7	0%	0	10	0%		
M6	Who provides the major support and maintenance of your PAC technology in your manufacturing facilities?											
	PAC group, enter "1" here.	4	9	44%	4	7	57%	4	10	40%		
	Plant operators/engineers, enter "1" here.	2	9	22%	1	7	14%	5	10	50%		
	I&E group, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	2	7	29%	1	10	10%		
	Vendors, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	7	0%	2	10	20%		
	Site Champion, enter "1" here.	1	9	11%	3	7	43%	2	10	20%		
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	1	7	14%	2	10	20%		
М7	For chemometric applications, who owns/maintair	s the cali	ibration?									
	Plant champion, enter "1" here.				2	7	29%	3	10	30%		
	Vendor, enter "1" here.				0	7	0%	1	10	10%		
	PAC group, enter "1" here.				3	7	43%	5	10	50%		
M8	For plant installations, who pro-	vides the	documentation pa	ckage for PAC	installatio	ns?						
	Plant Champion/project team, enter "1" here.				6	7	86%	4	10	40%		
	Vendor/contractor (e.g. S2I), enter "1" here.				1	7	14%	2	10	20%		
	PAC group, enter "1" here.				5	7	71%	7	10	70%		
М9	For plant applications, what sort of ana	alyzer rel	iability data is bei	ng collected?								
	No analy zer reliability data is collected, enter "1" here.				2	7	29%	2	10	20%		
	Analy zer uptime is tracked and charted, enter				1	7	14%	1	10	10%		
	Failure data is documented and	shared (w/	vendor or inter-compar	y), enter "1" here	2	7	29%	3	10	30%		
	All instruments have PM plans in place, enter "1" here.				4	7	57%	8	10	80%		

Ma	nufacturing	2001 total	2001 # respons es	2001%	2003 total	2003# responses	2003%	2004 total	2004 # responses	2004%
M10	What do you see as the greatest barriers to impler	nenting P	AC technology in	manufacturing	g facilities?	(pick two)				
	FDA regulations, enter "1" here.	1	9	11%	3	9	33%	2	12	17%
	Lack of robust technology, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	3	9	33%	3	12	25%
	Lack of scientists developing & transferring PAC technology, enter "1" here.	2	9	22%	1	9	11%	2	12	17%
	PAC technology not part of process developmentefforts, enter "1" here.	3	9	33%	3	9	33%	4	12	33%
	Lack of long-term support for PAC technology, enter "1" here.	3	9	33%	2	9	22%	3	12	25%
	Manufacturing site leadership, enter "1" here.	3	9	33%	1	9	11%	1	12	8%
	QA/internal regulatory group, enter "1" here.	5	9	56%	3	9	33%	1	12	8%
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	9	0%	3	12	25%
M11	What do you see is the most time-consuming com	ponent in	implementation o	fPAC techno	logy?					
	Developing sampling technology, enter "1" here.	3	9	33%	2	9	22%	2	12	17%
	Developing the analytical method, enter "1" here.	1	9	11%	2	9	22%	4	12	33%
	Data analysis and presentation, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	9	0%	0	12	0%
	Meeting instrument classification requirements, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	1	9	11%	0	12	0%
	IQ/OQ/PQ, enter "1" here.	4	9	44%	3	9	33%	5	12	42%
	Documentation, enter "1" here.	5	9	56%	5	9	56%	4	12	33%
	Procuring/ensuring trained owner and infrastructure, enter	1	9	11%	0	9	0%	0	12	0%
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	9	0%	1	12	8%
M12	Where do you see the greatest need for future dev	elopment	s in PAC applied t	o commercial	manufactur	ing?				
	Vendor certification/audits to simplify documentation, enter "1" here.							3	12	25%
	Data management technology development, enter "1" here.					2	12	17%		
	Analyzer technology development (smaller, simpler, more rob	ust, etc.), ei	nter "1" here.					5	12	42%
	Analy zer cost decrease, enter "1" here.							1	12	8%
	Organizational commitment to PAC, enter "1" here.							6	12	50%
	Other, enter "1" here.							1	12	8%

Re	esearch & Development	2001 total	2001 # respons es	2001%	2003 total	2003# responses	2003%	2004 total	2004# respons es	2004%
R1	Does your company use PAC technology in proces	s R&D?								
	If YES, enter "1" here.	9	9	100%	7	9	78%	10	12	83%
	If NO, enter "1" here.	1	9	11%	2	9	22%	2	12	17%
R2	Which of the following does your company commo	only use rea	al-time analytical tec	chnology to	generate dat	a for?				
	Route selection, enter "1" here.		9	33%	3	9	33%	2	12	17%
	Process characterization and identifying CPP's, enter "1" here.	8	9	89%	5	9	56%	9	12	75%
	Developing control strategies, enter "1" here.	4	9	44%	2	9	22%	6	12	50%
	Scale-down of existing processes for improvement and maintenance, enter "1" here.		9	11%	2	9	22%	2	12	17%
	Reaction engineering and safety determinations, enter "1" here.	5	9	56%	4	9	44%	5	12	42%
	Optimization of particular units operations (e.g. dying), enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	5	9	56%	7	12	58%
	Cry stallization studies, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	5	9	56%	5	12	42%
	Other, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	9	0%	1	12	8%
R3	At what scale do you commonly employ real-time data collection? (can be more than one)									
	Less than 500-cc, enter "1" here.	3	9	33%	4	9	44%	5	12	42%
	500-cc to 2-L, enter "1" here.		9	78%	7	9	78%	7	12	58%
	2-L to 100-L (kilo lab, mini-plant), enter "1" here.	6	9	67%	6	9	67%	7	12	58%
	>100-L (pilot plant or demonstration/validation runs), enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	4	9	44%	5	12	42%
R4	Do you have real-time or automated analytical coup	oled with th	ne following?							
	Automated parallel synthesis workstations, enter "1" here.	3	9	33%	1	9	11%	2	12	17%
	Automated reactors (RC-1, LabMax, CLARK etc.), enter "1" here.	7	9	78%	6	9	67%	4	12	33%

Research & Development	2001 tot	al 2001 # respons es	2001%	2003 total	2003# respons es	2003%	2004 total	2004# respons es	2004%
R5 Other than temperature, pressure, and flow	, what technolog	es are currently used	in R&D?						
pH, ente	er "1" here. 7	9	78%	6	9	67%	10	12	83%
Conductivity, ente	er "1" here. 3	9	33%	4	9	44%	4	12	33%
NIR, ente	r "1" here. 5	9	56%	5	9	56%	7	12	58%
Mid-IR, ente	er "1" here. 7	9	78%	6	9	67%	6	12	50%
UV-Vis, ente	r "1" here. 3	9	33%	5	9	56%	4	12	33%
Raman, ente	r "1" here. 5	9	56%	3	9	33%	4	12	33%
Microwave, ente	r "1" here. 1	9	11%	0	9	0%	1	12	8%
Mass spectrometry, enter	r "1" here. 4	9	44%	4	9	44%	3	12	25%
	er "1" here. 2	9	22%	3	9	33%	1	12	8%
	r "1" here. 3	9	33%	2	9	22%	2	12	17%
Hy phenated, ente	er "1" here. 0	9	0%	3	9	33%	0	12	0%
Turbidity, ente		9	11%	4	9	44%	6	12	50%
Microscopy, ente		9	11%	4	9	44%	3	12	25%
LIF, ente	er "1" here. 1	9	11%	1	9	11%	1	12	8%
Particle Size, ente		9	11%	5	9	56%	7	12	58%
Other, ente		9	0%	0	9	0%	2	12	17%
R6 In total, how many of these analyzers do y	ou estimate are c	urrently operating in	your laborato	ories?					
Fewer than 10, enter	er "1" here. 4	9	44%	2	9	22%	3	12	25%
11-50, ente	er "1" here. 4	9	44%	3	9	33%	3	12	25%
51-100, ente	er "1" here. 0	9	0%	0	9	0%	5	12	42%
Greater than 100, enter	er "1" here. 1	9	11%	1	9	11%	0	12	0%
R7 For chemometric applications, who perform	ns the chemomet	ric modeling or evalu	ation?						
The instrument user, with vendor software,	enter "1" here.			5	9	56%	7	12	58%
A staff chemometrician, usin	g semi-custom softwa	are, enter "1" here.		3	9	33%	7	12	58%

Re	esearch & Development	2001 total	2001 # respons es	2001%	2003 total	2003# responses	2003%	2004 total	2004# respons es	2004%
R8	What do you see as the greatest barrier to implement	nting PAC	technology in proce	ss R&D fac	ilities?					
	Lack of reliable, user-friendly technology, enter "1" here.	1	9	11%	3	9	33%	3	12	25%
	Lack of scientists developing & supporting PAC technology, enter "1" here.		9	67%	4	9	44%	6	12	50%
	Lack of support for installed PAC technology, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	0	9	0%	1	12	8%
	Development chemist's & engineer's reluctance to try new approach, enter "1" here.		9	78%	4	9	44%	4	12	33%
	Process development leadership, enter "1" here.	2	9	22%	1	9	11%	2	12	17%
	QA/internal regulations, enter "1" here.	0	9	0%	1	9	11%	0	12	0%
R9	Where do you see the greatest need for future devel	lopments ir	n PAC for process R	&D applica	tions?					
	Vendor certification/audits to simplify d	∞umentation	, enter "1" here.					1	12	8%
	Data management technology development, enter "1" l	nere.						1	12	8%
	Analyzer technology development (smaller, simpler, more robus	st, etc.), enter	"1" here.					5	12	42%
	Analy zer cost decrease, enter "1" here.							0	12	0%
	Organizational commitment to PAC, enter "1" here.							9	12	75%
	Other, enter "1" here.							0	12	0%